

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/693,239	10/20/2000	Herbert Howell Waddell	IP-902	8560	
75	590 01/09/2003				
ALBERT WAI-KIT CHAN			EXAMINER		
141-07 20TH A			PEZZUTO, RO	PEZZUTO, ROBERT ERIC	
WHITESTONE	E, NY 11357		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3671		
		·	DATE MAILED: 01/09/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	4				
*	09/693,239	WADDELL, HERBE	RT HOWELL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Robert E Pezzuto	3671	/				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the (correspondence add	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this cor ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	ex parto quayio, 1000 o.b. 11,	100 0.0. 210.					
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	7					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicat	ion No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the control of the certified of the	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage				
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119((e) (to a provisional	application).				
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTC					
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 09/693,239

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davis '359 in view of Decker '645. Jones discloses an apparatus for gathering materials (figures 1-4), the apparatus comprising two grasping elements (A,A') each which have shafts (F) with grasping means (B,B') at one end. Also, Jones shows the shafts being of 0.5 to 3 inches in diameter and two to six feet in length (as seen in figures 1-3) and the grasping means having tines (as best seen in figure 1). Further, Davis teaches that it is well known in the art to connect to implements (figures 1-3) with a relatively moveable coupling means (figure 4), the handles being turnable within the coupling means when moved to the non-square portions of the handles (as seen in figures 1-3) but fails to show the coupling means made of a flexible material. However, Decker clearly teaches that it is known in the art to provide a hinged coupling means between two handles of such an implement (figures 1-3) and to construct that coupling means from a flexible material (column 2, lines 27-40). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide the apparatus of Davis with the teachings of

Application/Control Number: 09/693,239

Art Unit: 3671

range.

Decker in order to provide a material gathering apparatus with a greater operational

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert E Pezzuto whose telephone number is (703) 308-1012. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B Will can be reached on (703) 308-3870. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-8623 for regular communications and (703) 308-8623 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1212.

Robert E Pezzuto January 8, 2003